

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/812,630 03/30/2004 87000AEK 3465 Lelia Cosimbescu EXAMINER 11/15/2005 7590 GARRETT, DAWN L Paul A. Leipold Patent Legal Staff PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Eastman Kodak Company 1774

343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650-2201

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			S
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	10/812,630	COSIMBESCU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Dawn Garrett	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become	ICATION. Treply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	9 August 2005.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			·
4)	drawn from consideration.	·	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o the drawing(s) be held in abey rrection is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	Paper N 3/08) 5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-18-05</u> .	6)	·	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/812,630 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office action is responsive to the amendment dated August 29, 2005. Claims 1, 7, 13, 1622, 23, 27 and 29 have been amended. Claim 17 is canceled.

- 2. The objection to claim 18 set forth in the last Office action (mailed May 25, 2005), paragraph 1, is withdrawn due to the amendment.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1, 28, 30 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al. (WO 2004/020372 A1) as set forth in the last Office action, paragraph 3, is withdrawn due to the amendment.
- 4. The rejection of claims 2-6, 8-12, 25, and 26 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. (WO 2004/020372 A1) as set forth in the last Office action, paragraph 5, is withdrawn due to the amendment.
- 5. The indication of allowable subject matter with regard to claim 17 set forth in the last Office action is withdrawn.

Claim Objections

- 6. Claims 15 and 27 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. In claim 15, it appears that the last recited compound should be listed before the words "combination thereof".
 - b. In claim 27, "1-" should be changed to "1".Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,630 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 8. Claims 1-6, 8-12, 25-28, 30, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. (WO 2004/020372 A1). Suzuki et al. disclose organic electroluminescent devices comprising an anode, a cathode and one or more layers containing a compound between the electrodes (see claim 6). The at least one luminescent layer comprises a host fluorene compound and a compound according to formula [XV] wherein Ar₂₅ and Ar₂₆ may be a substituted or unsubstituted aromatic group or fused polycyclic aromatic group. The variable "t" in the formula may be 1 (see claim 13, pages 81-82). Suzuki et al. clearly discloses compounds according to present claim 1, formula 1, disposed in a luminescent layer of an organic electroluminescent device (see abstract, claims 6 and 13). With regard to the new limitation in claim 1 requiring a third material that emits light, Suzuki et al. teaches that "at least one fluorene compound" may be used (see page 16, lines 1-8 and page 35, lines 11-16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated a second light-emitting fluorene compound in any ratio with a first fluorene compound (per the "third material" and also per claim 27) in a layer of the device, because Suzuki et al. generally teaches more than one fluorene compound may be used at a time. Suzuki et al. fails to exemplify or to specify the substituents set forth in claims 2-6 and 8-12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have made compounds according to claims 2-6 and 8-12,

Application/Control Number: 10/812,630

Art Unit: 1774

because Suzuki et al. clearly teaches the Ar substituents of formula XV may be the same or different and include substituted or unsubstituted aromatic groups and fused polycyclic aromatic groups which encompass the specific substituents of claims 2-6 and 8-12. Suzuki et al. fails to exemplify a device comprising the fluorene host and the formula XV compound in a layer in specific amounts. Suzuki et al. does in show example 23 (see page 56) that arylamine is added to the fluorene compound at a ratio of 100:1 fluorene compound to arylamine compound. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have also incorporated formula XV in the same ratio to fluorene compound in a fluorescent layer as the arylamine of example 23, because Suzuki et al. generally teaches formula XV is a similar additive to the luminescent layer as the arylamine compounds.

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 7, 13, 14, 16 and 29 are allowed. (Claim 15 contains allowable subject matter, but stands objected to for a minor informality). Claims 18-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art fails to teach a device comprising formula (1) with a host material in a device layer comprising the properties and further components as required by claims 13-16, 18-24, and 29.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

Art Unit: 1774

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dawn Garrett whose telephone number is (571)272-1523. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dawn Garrett
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1774

November 8, 2005